

REMARKS

This is in full and timely response to the Office Action mailed on May 17, 2007.

Because November 17, 2006, the third extended month after the mailing date of the Office Action, falls on a Sunday, the period for response is extended to November 19, 2006, which is the next day that is neither a Saturday, Sunday nor a Federal holiday in the District of Columbia. Reexamination in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 11-16 are currently pending in this application, with claim 11 being independent.

No new matter has been added.

Reexamination in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claim rejections

While not conceding the propriety of these objections and in order to advance the prosecution of the above-identified application, claims 1-10 have been canceled.

Withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

Newly added claims

Claims 11-16 - Claims 12-16 are dependent upon claim 11. Claim 11 is drawn to a liquid-crystal display apparatus comprising:

a common voltage adjustment circuit adapted to adjust a common voltage;

a chrominance non-uniformity correction circuit adapted to generate a chrominance non-uniformity correction signal, said chrominance non-uniformity correction signal being superimposable onto said common voltage or said primary color video signal;

a display panel adapted to receive said common voltage and a primary color video signal, a difference between said common voltage and said primary color video signal being applied to said display panel.

At least for the following reasons, the cited prior art fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a display panel adapted to receive said common voltage and a primary color video signal, a difference between said common voltage and said primary color video signal being applied to said display panel.

Figure 3 of U.S. Patent No. 5,260,797 To Muraji et al. (Muraji) arguably teaches that a specified voltage is supplied by a common voltage generating circuit 32 to the common electrode 43 of the image display device 3 (Muraji at column 5, lines 29-32).

However, Muraji fails to disclose, teach, or suggest that a difference between the common voltage and a primary color video signal is applied to the image display device 3.

Allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, all the claims now pending in the present application are allowable, and the present application is in condition for allowance. Therefore, this response is believed to be a complete response to the Office Action.

Applicants reserve the right to set forth further arguments supporting the patentability of their claims, including the separate patentability of the dependent claims not explicitly addressed herein, in future papers.

There is no concession as to the veracity of Official Notice, if taken in any Office Action. An affidavit or document should be provided in support of any Official Notice taken. 37 CFR 1.104(d)(2), MPEP § 2144.03. See also, *Ex parte Natale*, 11 USPQ2d 1222, 1227-1228 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1989)(failure to provide any objective evidence to support the challenged use of Official Notice constitutes clear and reversible error).

Accordingly, favorable reexamination and reconsideration of the application in light of the remarks is courteously solicited.

Extensions of time

Please treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time.

Fees

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge all required fees, fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17, or all required extension of time fees. If any fee is required or any overpayment made, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee or credit the overpayment to Deposit Account # 18-0013.

If the Examiner has any comments or suggestions that could place this application in even better form, the Examiner is requested to telephone Brian K. Dutton, Reg. No. 47,255, at 202-955-8753.

Dated: November 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Ronald P. Kananen

Registration No.: 24,104

Brian K. Dutton

Registration No.: 47,255

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

Correspondence Customer Number: 23353

Attorneys for Applicant